

INTERSECTION OF RITUAL PRACTICE AND SOCIAL IDENTITY IN ANCIENT GREEK RELIGION

Antonia I. Kapeleri, PhD

High school philologist, Former Researcher at the Foundation of the Hellenic World, Athens, Greece.

Email: Antonia.Kapeleri.81@gmail.com

Abstract

In ancient Greek society, religion was not merely a matter of personal faith or individual spirituality; it was a deeply ingrained aspect of public and private life that permeated every layer of society. Ritual practices, including sacrifices, festivals, and mystery cults, were central to the expression of Greek religiosity. These rituals were not just religious acts but also powerful tools for constructing and reinforcing social identities. This paper explores the intersection of ritual practice and social identity in ancient Greek religion, focusing on how these practices shaped gender roles, civic identity, and social hierarchies.

Keywords: Ancient Greek Religion, Ritual Practice, gender roles, mystery cults, Social Identity.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rituals function as elements of social demarcation by:

- establishing and reinforcing a shared identity among participants,
- drawing clear lines between insiders and outsiders, reinforcing group cohesion while simultaneously marking non-participants as outsiders,
- marking and reinforcing social hierarchies within a community,
- reinforcing social norms,
- accompanying transitions from one social status to another,
- enforcing social cohesion and conformity,
- and distinguishing sacred spaces from profane or ordinary ones.

(Whitehouse, 2021; Kádár & House, 2020; Faerna, 2014; Brooks, 1996; Barraud & Platenkamp, 1990; Forde, 1962).

In ancient Greek society, religion was not merely a matter of personal faith or individual spirituality; it was a deeply ingrained aspect of public and private life that permeated every layer of society. Ritual practices, including sacrifices, festivals, and mystery cults, were central to the expression of Greek religiosity. These rituals were not just religious acts but also powerful tools for constructing and reinforcing social identities. This paper explores the intersection of ritual practice and social identity in ancient Greek religion, focusing on how these practices shaped gender roles, civic identity, and social hierarchies.

II. RITUAL PRACTICE AND CIVIC IDENTITY

Rituals play a crucial role in defining social boundaries and distinctions within societies. As elements of social demarcation, rituals help to create, reinforce, and communicate differences among groups, whether those differences are based on ethnicity, religion, social class, age, or other social markers. Several scholars have significantly contributed to the understanding of how rituals define social boundaries and distinctions within societies. In his seminal work, *Les formes élémentaires de la vie religieuse* (1912), Émile Durkheim argued that rituals play a crucial role in the collective consciousness of a society. By participating in rituals, individuals reaffirm their membership in a group and distinguish themselves from outsiders, thus helping to establish and maintain social boundaries (Durkheim, 1912/2014). Also, Arnold Van Gennep, best known for his concept of "rites of passage," which he introduced in his book *Les Rites de Passage* (1909), analyzed how rituals mark transitions between different social statuses, such as birth, puberty, marriage, and death. His work highlighted how these rituals serve to demarcate stages in an individual's life and distinguish between different social roles and statuses (Van Gennep, 1909/2011). Erving Goffman contributed to the understanding of rituals in everyday social interactions. In his work *The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life* (1956),

Goffman introduced the concept of "interaction rituals," which are the small, everyday actions that people perform to maintain social order and manage impressions: these micro-rituals demarcate social boundaries and distinctions, such as status or group membership, in daily life (Goffman, 1956/1959). Victor Turner built upon van Gennep's ideas with his concept of "liminality," which he explored in works like *The Ritual Process: Structure and Anti-Structure* (1969) examining how rituals create a liminal phase—a period of ambiguity and transformation—where participants are temporarily outside their normal social roles. This liminality helps reinforce social boundaries by clearly delineating the transition from one status to another, often emphasizing the difference between insiders and outsiders or between different social categories (Turner, 1969/2017). In her influential book *Purity and Danger: An Analysis of Concepts of Pollution and Taboo* (1966), Mary Douglas argued that rituals often serve to maintain social boundaries by distinguishing between what is considered pure or impure, acceptable or taboo. In that sense, she emphasized how rituals reflect and reinforce cultural categories and social distinctions (Douglas, 1966). In the same vein are the studies of Clifford Geertz, known for his interpretive approach to culture and rituals. In his essay *Religion as a Cultural System* (1966) and *The Interpretation of Cultures* (1973), Geertz examined how rituals communicate and reinforce cultural meanings, symbols, and values, thereby establishing social boundaries, arguing that rituals are a form of "deep play" that conveys and sustains social distinctions and cultural identities (Geertz, 1966/1993). Also, Pierre Bourdieu focused on how rituals contribute to the reproduction of social structures and hierarchies. In works such as *Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgment of Taste* (1979), he explored how cultural practices and rituals reinforce social distinctions and status differences. Bourdieu's concept of "cultural capital" highlights how mastery of certain rituals and cultural practices can demarcate social boundaries, distinguishing the elite from others (Bourdieu, 1979). Finally, more recently, Catherine Bell a scholar of religious studies, made significant contributions to the study of ritual through her concept of "ritualization." In her book *Ritual Theory, Ritual Practice* (1992), she argued that rituals are not just actions but also strategies that create and maintain social distinctions: ritualization is a way to create power dynamics and social boundaries, emphasizing differences and asserting social roles (Bell, 1992/2009). As well as, the work of the British anthropologist Maurice Bloch, who explored the cognitive and symbolic aspects of rituals. In *Prey into Hunter: The Politics of Religious Experience* (1991), he examined how rituals manipulate symbols to demarcate social boundaries and control social meanings (Bloch, 1991).

Rituals in ancient Greece played a crucial role in shaping and sustaining civic identity. They were not merely religious practices but were deeply embedded in the social and political fabric of Greek city-states. These rituals, ranging from public sacrifices and festivals to rites of passage and funerary ceremonies, served as powerful tools for community cohesion and collective identity. Through these collective practices, the citizens of the Greek city-states not only expressed their religious beliefs but also affirmed their place within the social and political order, ensuring the continuity and cohesion of their communities. Major festivals like the Panathenaia in Athens, the Olympic Games in Olympia, Dionysia in Athens, Eleusinian Mysteries in Eleusis, near Athens, Pythian Games in Delphi, Nemea in Peloponnese, Isthmian Games in Corinth, Thesmophoria in various Greek cities, especially Athens, were not just religious events but also occasions for civic display and participation. These gatherings allowed citizens to engage in shared cultural and religious practices, reinforcing their collective identity as members of a polis (city-state). The rituals performed during these festivals often highlighted the city's patron deities and heroes, linking the community's present to its mythic past and thereby strengthening civic pride and unity (Liveri, 2021; Shear, 2021; Richter, 2020; Graf, 2016; Waldner, 2013; Burkert, 1995; Clinton, 1994; Sourvinou-Inwood, 1988). Rituals such as the ephebic oath, taken by young Athenian men upon reaching adulthood, were critical in the process of socialization. This particular oath, taken before participating in military training, emphasized loyalty to the city and its laws, thus binding the youth to the civic order. Such rites of passage ensured that individuals were integrated into the civic body with a clear understanding of their roles and responsibilities as citizens (Henderson, 2020; Klosko, 2020; Kozak, 2013; Kellogg, 2013; Vickers, 2011; Kellogg, 2008; Siewert, 1977; Taylor, 1918). The practice of animal sacrifice, a central ritual in Greek religion, was both a religious offering and a communal feast. These sacrifices often took place in public spaces, such as the altar of Zeus at Olympia, and were occasions for collective participation. The communal aspect of the ritual, where the meat from the sacrifice was shared among the participants, symbolized the unity of the citizen body under the protection of the gods (Kitts, 2022; Kindt, 2021; McInerney, 2020; Roberts, 2020; Ekroth, 2019; Faraone & Naiden, 2012; Rives, 2011; Bremmer, 2007). Funerary rituals were another key element in maintaining civic identity, as they were deeply tied to the memory of the dead and the honor of the city. Public funerals, like those described in Pericles' Funeral Oration, were occasions for the polis to honor its fallen warriors, linking their sacrifice to the survival and glory of the community. These rituals served to remind the living of their duty to the city and to perpetuate the values and ideals of the polis across generations (Lamont, 2023; Erasmo, 2021; Danforth & Tsiraras, 2020; Boutsikas, 2017; Dimakis, 2015; Håland, 2014; Stevanović,

2009; Retief, & Cilliers, 2006; Stears, K. (2005; Georgoulaki, 1996).

However, the social significance of rituals was not limited to the maintenance of civic identity but went even deeper as an element of social demarcation. Through these rituals, the Greek city-states not only celebrated their civic identity but also clearly demarcated who was included within the political community and who was excluded, thereby reinforcing the social and political structures of the polis (Magnet, 2024; Landauer, 2023; Duploux, 2018; Blok, 2017; Blok, 2009; Ober, 2008; Farenga, 2006; Isin, 2002). Many civic rituals were exclusive to citizens, effectively marking the boundary between those who belonged to the political community and those who did not. For instance, the ephebic oath was a rite of passage that marked the transition of young Athenian males into full citizenship. By taking this oath, they were not only committing to defend the city but also publicly affirming their status as legitimate members of the civic body. Participation in such rituals was a key aspect of what it meant to be a citizen, as it conferred rights and responsibilities that were unavailable to non-citizens, such as metics (resident foreigners) and slaves (Henderson, 2020; Klosko, 2020; Kellogg, 2008; Sievert, 1977; Reinmuth; 1952; Taylor, 1918). Civic rituals also served to exclude certain groups from citizenship. For example, women, despite their participation in various religious and domestic rituals, were generally excluded from the political rituals that defined male citizenship. The very structure of these rituals reinforced a gendered conception of citizenship, where political power and civic identity were reserved for men. Similarly, the exclusion of non-citizens from key civic rituals underscored their outsider status within the community, despite any other contributions they might have made to the polis (Whitley, 2018; Blok, 2017; Blok, 2013; Evans, 2010; Goff, 2004; Meyer, 1993; Duncan & Museums, 1991). Public festivals such as the Panathenaia in Athens were opportunities for citizens to display their civic identity through participation in processions, sacrifices, and athletic competitions. These events were not just celebrations but also public affirmations of who belonged to the civic community. The privilege of participating in these festivals, particularly in the more prestigious roles, was reserved for citizens, thereby reinforcing the social hierarchy and the boundaries of citizenship (Gvozdeva, 2021; Shear, 2021a; Shear, 2012b; Shear, 2001; Neils, 1996; Meritt, 1988; Develin, 1984; Nagy, 1978; Mikalson, 1976). Civic rituals in the legal and judicial spheres, such as public trials and the swearing of oaths by jurors and magistrates, also defined the boundaries of citizenship. Only citizens could serve as jurors or hold public office, and their participation in these rituals was a direct expression of their civic identity. The rituals surrounding these legal processes reinforced the idea that citizenship was not just a matter of residence but also of active participation in the governance and judicial functions of the polis (O'Connell, 2019; Fletcher, 2014; Harris, 2007; Faraone, 2005; Blanshard, 2004; Thür, 1996; Crook, 1985). Even in death, rituals played a role in defining the boundaries of citizenship. Public funerals for fallen warriors were exclusive to citizens who had died in service to the polis. These ceremonies not only honored the dead but also reinforced the idea that citizenship involved a lifelong commitment to the community, culminating in the ultimate sacrifice. Non-citizens, by contrast, were typically excluded from such public honors, further delineating the boundaries of the civic community (Carbon, & Peels-Matthey, 2023; Lamont, 2023; Wijma, 2023; Erasmo, 2021; Duploux, 2018; Dimakis, 2015; Patterson, 2006; Johnston, 1999; Meyer, 1993).

III. GENDER AND RITUAL PRACTICE

Rituals in ancient Greek society were not only expressions of religious devotion but also powerful mechanisms for constructing and reinforcing gender identities. Through these rituals, men and women were socialized into their respective roles within the household and the polis, ensuring the continuation of the gender norms and hierarchies that underpinned ancient Greek society (Elhance, 2024; Carbon & Peels-Matthey, 2023; Khatun & Islam, 2023; Murray, 2022; McClure, 2021; Katsarou & Nagel, 2020; Surtees, 2020; MacLachlan, 2011; Hajdáková, 2007; Blundell & Williamson, 2005; Bassi, 1998; Stehle, 1997). For men, rituals such as the ephebic oath and military training were crucial in constructing masculine identity. These rites of passage marked the transition from boyhood to manhood, emphasizing qualities such as bravery, loyalty, and physical prowess, which were essential to the ideal of the male citizen-warrior. By participating in these rituals, young men were not only integrated into the civic body but also affirmed their roles as defenders and leaders of the polis. The public nature of these rituals, often involving communal gatherings and displays of strength, reinforced the association of masculinity with the public, political sphere. Women's identities, on the other hand, were largely constructed through rituals related to domesticity and fertility, reflecting their primary roles within the household. Rituals such as the Brauronia, where young girls participated in rites dedicated to Artemis, were designed to prepare them for their future roles as wives and mothers. These rituals emphasized virtues like chastity, obedience, and nurturing, which were considered essential for the maintenance of the household and, by extension, the stability of the polis. Women's participation in these rituals reinforced their association with the private sphere and their exclusion from the public and political life of the city (Gürlach, 2022; Johannessen, 2021; Simone, 2020; Brøns, 2016;

Osborne, 2015; Smith, 2003; Cole, 1984). Marriage rituals, such as the *proteleia* (pre-wedding sacrifices) and the *gamos* (wedding ceremony), played a key role in constructing gender identities by formalizing the roles of husband and wife. These rituals often involved the symbolic transfer of the bride from her father's household to her husband's, reinforcing the idea of women as property and their primary role as child-bearers and homemakers. The rituals surrounding marriage not only marked the transition of women from maidenhood to wifehood but also reinforced the societal expectation that a woman's primary duty was to her husband and family (Genov, 2023; Sekita, 2023; Dillon, 2021; Dillon, 2020; Jackson, 2020; Laurin, 2013; Papastamati, 2013; Avagianou, 2008; Reilly, 2003; Burkert, 2001; Stewart, 1976; Bardis, 1964). Religious rituals also contributed to the construction of gender identities by delineating gendered spaces within the religious and civic spheres. While men participated in public religious rituals, such as sacrifices and festivals, women were often involved in more private, domestic rituals, such as those dedicated to household gods or fertility deities. However, certain religious roles were exclusive to women, such as the priestess of Athena or the Thesmophoria festival, which was restricted to married women. These gender-specific roles and rituals reinforced the division of male and female spheres, even within the religious domain, and emphasized the distinct but complementary roles of men and women in society (Foley, 2024; Forth, 2024; Pirenne-Delforge, 2024; Deihl, 2022; Claggett, 2020; Feraru, 2020; White, 2013; Lowe, 2005; Tzanetou, 2002; Robertson, 1999; Habash, 1997; De Shong Moodor, 1986; Eitrem, 1944). Mourning and funerary rituals were another area where gender identities were distinctly expressed. Women were often the primary mourners in funerary rituals, responsible for the preparation of the body and the performance of laments. These rituals emphasized the association of women with the domestic and emotional aspects of life and death, while men's roles in funerary practices were more public, such as giving speeches or participating in public funerals for fallen warriors. The division of labor in these rituals further reinforced traditional gender roles and the expectations placed on men and women (Humphreys, 2023; Erasmo, 2021; Roberts, 2020; Surtees, 2020; Håland, 2014; Håland, 2010; Dillon, 2006; Stears, 2005; Loizos & Papataxiarchēs, 1991).

Women's participation in rituals in ancient Greece, while reinforcing traditional gender roles, also offered them a distinct space for agency within the constraints of a male-dominated society. Through these rituals, women could assert influence, cultivate communal ties, and express their identities in ways that were otherwise limited in the public and political spheres. Despite the restrictions placed on women in public life, religious and domestic rituals provided them with opportunities to exercise a form of power and influence. For example, during the Thesmophoria, a festival dedicated to Demeter and Persephone, married women played a central role in the proceedings, which were exclusively female. This festival was crucial for ensuring agricultural fertility and, by extension, the well-being of the entire polis. By leading and organizing the Thesmophoria, women exerted a significant, albeit indirect, influence on the community, emphasizing their vital role in sustaining the social and economic fabric of the city. Certain religious roles, such as that of the priestess, provided women with a degree of authority and respect that was not typically afforded to them in other aspects of life (Foley, 2024; Deihl, 2022; White, 2013; Lowe, 2005; Tzanetou, 2002; Robertson, 1999; Habash, 1997; De Shong Moodor, 1986; Eitrem, 1944). The priestess of Athena in Athens, for example, held a prestigious position, overseeing rituals and maintaining the temple, which was central to the city's identity. These roles allowed women to participate in public religious life and to command respect and influence, even within the context of a patriarchal society. Through their religious duties, priestesses could interact with male leaders and citizens, negotiate resources, and impact religious practices and beliefs (Henry, 2023; Ovid, 2023; Barlow-Busch, 2021; Barlow-Busch, 2021; Blok, 2014; Rigoglioso, 2009; Dignas, 2008; Lougovaya-Ast, 2006; Sourvinou-Inwood, 1988). While rituals related to fertility and marriage reinforced traditional gender roles, they also gave women a platform to express their own identities and to exert influence within their families and communities. For instance, in marriage rituals, the bride and her female relatives were actively involved in the ceremonial preparations, such as the *proteleia* (sacrifices before marriage) and the *gamos* (wedding ceremony). These rituals, although centered on the transfer of the bride to her husband's household, allowed women to assert their familial connections, manage dowries, and establish their roles within their new households. In this way, women navigated the social structures that sought to control them, finding ways to assert their agency within the confines of these rituals. Funerary rituals, where women were the primary mourners, also provided a venue for agency. Women's laments and mourning practices were essential in honoring the dead and ensuring their proper passage to the afterlife. These rituals allowed women to publicly express grief and to influence the memory and legacy of the deceased. In this role, women could shape the narratives surrounding the dead, particularly in the case of fallen warriors or prominent men, thus exerting a subtle influence over communal values and historical memory. The ritualized mourning process also reinforced women's roles as keepers of familial and societal continuity (Humphreys, 2023; Erasmo, 2021; Roberts, 2020; Surtees, 2020; Håland, 2014; Håland, 2010; Dillon, 2006; Stears, 2005; Loizos & Papataxiarchēs, 1991). In some cases, women's participation in rituals could be seen as a form of resistance against their

INTERSECTION OF RITUAL PRACTICE AND SOCIAL IDENTITY IN ANCIENT GREEK RELIGION

subordination. The secrecy and exclusivity of certain female-only rituals, such as the Adonia, where women mourned the death of Adonis, provided a space for women to express emotions, desires, and frustrations that were otherwise repressed in the public domain. These rituals, often carried out away from the male gaze, allowed women to build solidarity and express a collective identity that, while aligned with traditional roles, also subtly challenged the limitations imposed upon them by society.

IV. SOCIAL HIERARCHIES AND RELIGIOUS PRACTICES

Rituals frequently mark and reinforce social hierarchies within a community. For instance, coronation ceremonies, military parades, and academic graduations all serve to publicly recognize an individual's status, achievements, or position within a hierarchy. These rituals communicate who holds power, authority, or prestige and demarcate the boundaries between different levels of social status. Rituals in ancient Greece were deeply intertwined with the display and reinforcement of social hierarchies. Through these public and private practices, the distinctions between different social classes, genders, and citizen statuses were not only made visible but also legitimized, ensuring the continuity of the established social order. These rituals were essential in maintaining the power dynamics that defined Greek society, embedding them within the religious, civic, and social fabric of the polis (Carbon & Peels-Matthey, 2023; Comaroff & Comaroff, 2012; Eidinow, 2011; Cole, 2004).

Major public festivals, such as the Panathenaia in Athens or the Eleusinian Mysteries, were occasions where social hierarchies were prominently displayed. These events involved elaborate processions, sacrifices, and competitions in which the participation of different social groups was carefully orchestrated to reflect their place in the social order. The elite class often took on the most visible and prestigious roles, such as leading processions or sponsoring events, which reinforced their status and authority within the community. In contrast, lower classes and non-citizens were relegated to more peripheral roles, highlighting the hierarchical nature of Greek society (Liveri, 2021; Shear, 2021a; Shear, 2012b; Richter, 2020; Neils, 1996; Meritt, 1988; Devlin, 1984; Mikalson, 1976).

Many civic rituals were explicitly designed to reinforce the boundaries of citizenship, thereby excluding certain groups from the privileges and responsibilities of full membership in the polis. For instance, the ephebic oath and other rites of passage into adulthood were reserved for male citizens, excluding women, slaves, and metics (resident foreigners) from these critical moments of civic integration (Kellogg, 2013; Kellogg, 2008; Reinmuth, 1952). By restricting participation in these rituals, the polis reinforced the social and political hierarchies that defined who could fully participate in public life. The exclusion of non-citizens from these rituals underscored their outsider status and maintained the distinct privileges of the citizen class.

Religious rituals often reflected and reinforced class distinctions. The ability to afford and perform certain sacrifices, particularly those involving large or expensive animals, was a mark of wealth and status. The elite could sponsor lavish public sacrifices, which not only fulfilled religious obligations but also demonstrated their wealth, piety, and generosity to the community. In contrast, poorer citizens could only offer smaller sacrifices, reinforcing the material differences between social classes. Additionally, the distribution of sacrificial meat, often shared after public rituals, was another way in which social hierarchies were manifested, with the best portions going to the most privileged participants. As mentioned above, gender played a significant role in the organization of rituals, with different rites reinforcing the distinct roles and statuses of men and women in Greek society. Men dominated public and political rituals, such as those related to governance, war, and civic identity, reflecting their control over the public sphere. Women, although active in religious and domestic rituals, were largely confined to the private sphere and to roles that emphasized their responsibilities as wives, mothers, and caretakers. This division of ritual participation reinforced the broader social hierarchy that subordinated women to men and restricted their roles within the community (Barlow-Busch, 2021; McClure, 2021; Surtees, 2020; Håland, 2014; MacLachlan, 2011; Stevanović, 2009; Dillon, 2006; Stears, 2005; Bassi, 1998; Loizos & Papataxiarchēs, 1991).

Funerary rituals were another key area where social hierarchies were displayed and reinforced. The scale and grandeur of a funeral, including the size of the tomb and the nature of the burial goods, were clear indicators of the deceased's social status. Elite families could afford elaborate funerals with large processions and public displays of mourning, which served to highlight their prominence in the community and to perpetuate their legacy. In contrast, the funerals of lower-class individuals were more modest, reflecting their lesser status in life. These rituals thus reinforced social hierarchies even in death, ensuring that distinctions of wealth and status persisted beyond the grave (Vijma, 2023; Stevanović, 2009).

Rituals associated with political power, such as the swearing-in of magistrates, public oaths, and the dedication of monuments, also reinforced social hierarchies. These rituals often involved the public display of power by

the ruling elites, who used them to legitimize their authority and to assert control over the political and social order. For example, the public dedication of a new temple or statue by a wealthy individual or political leader was not only an act of piety but also a demonstration of their wealth, influence, and ability to contribute to the common good. These acts reinforced the hierarchical structure of the polis, with the elites positioned as the guardians and benefactors of the community (Kurke, 2021; Kindt, 2009; Burkert, 2001; Connor, 1987).

Religious rituals in ancient Greece, while often reinforcing social hierarchies, also had the potential to challenge and subvert these structures. Through certain rituals, marginalized groups could express their agency, resist dominant norms, or temporarily invert established social orders, offering a complex interplay between tradition and social dynamics. Some religious festivals involved ritualized inversions of social order, where typical hierarchies were temporarily suspended or reversed. The Anthesteria festival, for example, was a Dionysian celebration in Athens where social norms were relaxed, and even slaves were allowed to participate in the festivities, enjoying freedoms normally denied to them (Van Hoorn, 2024; Shear, 2021; Cristóbal, 2020; Utheim, 2019; Pişkin Ayvazoğlu, 2014; Maurizio, 2001; Ham, 1999; Dietrich, 1961). These rituals, while brief, allowed for a temporary leveling of social distinctions, providing an outlet for the expression of grievances or a release from the pressures of the rigid social hierarchy. By allowing for such inversions, these rituals highlighted the artificiality of social distinctions and reminded participants of the potential for change.

Certain female-only rituals, such as the Thesmophoria or Adonia, provided women with a space to exercise a form of collective power and express resistance to their subordinate status. In these rituals, women could gather away from the male gaze, creating a sense of solidarity and shared identity that challenged the patriarchal norms of the broader society (Shideed, 2023; Reitzammer, 2016; Simms, 1997). The Thesmophoria was particularly significant because it emphasized women's roles in ensuring fertility and the well-being of the polis, thus indirectly asserting their importance in a society that otherwise restricted their public roles. These rituals allowed women to express their agency and autonomy within the confines of religious tradition, subtly challenging the gender hierarchies that dominated Greek society.

Although certain religious roles were reserved for the elite, others were accessible to individuals from less privileged backgrounds, allowing them to gain prestige and influence. For example, some cults and mystery religions, such as the Eleusinian Mysteries, were open to a broader segment of the population, including women, slaves, and foreigners (Robertson, 1999; Clinton, 1994). Participation in these rituals offered these marginalized groups a sense of belonging and spiritual equality, challenging the rigid social hierarchies that defined everyday life. The secretive nature of mystery cults also provided a space for individuals to transcend their social status and connect with a divine power, creating a form of spiritual resistance to their marginalized position in society.

Certain public religious rituals, particularly those associated with festivals, could become platforms for popular dissent or the expression of social tensions. During large festivals, such as the Dionysia in Athens, the public performance of tragedies and comedies often included themes that critiqued social and political issues, challenging the status quo. The chorus, representing ordinary citizens, could voice criticisms of the ruling elite, the justice system, or other aspects of Athenian society. While these critiques were presented within the context of a religious festival, they allowed for a degree of public debate and reflection that could challenge existing power structures (Cristóbal, 2020; Csapo, 2020; Seaford, 2015; Spineto, 2011; Connor, 1989; Hoffman, 1989).

Some rituals were explicitly designed to resist the established social order. For instance, the Adonia allowed women to express emotions and desires that were often repressed in their daily lives, creating a space where they could mourn, protest, and reflect on the transitory nature of life and beauty, themes particularly relevant to their own socially constrained lives. Through such rituals, women could subtly resist the roles imposed on them by society, expressing a form of agency that, while limited, challenged the norms of gender and social status.

Collective rituals, such as communal sacrifices or processions, could also serve as moments of solidarity among lower classes, creating a sense of unity that transcended individual social distinctions. These rituals often emphasized the collective identity of the community over individual status, reminding participants of their shared religious and civic responsibilities (Kitts, 2022; Graf, 2020; Jackson, 2020; Ekroth, 2019; Faraone & Naiden, 2012; Rives, 2011; Bremmer, 2007). In times of social unrest or political upheaval, these collective rituals could become rallying points for resistance or change, as they brought together diverse groups in a common cause, potentially challenging the authority of the elite or the existing social order (Louwerdis, 2022; Whitehouse, 2021; Farneth, 2020; Comaroff & Comaroff, 2012; Burroughs, 2006; Forsdyke, 2005; Bryant, 1996).

V. The Fluidity of Social Identity in Ritual Contexts

A. Ritual practices and social identity: recent scholarship

INTERSECTION OF RITUAL PRACTICE AND SOCIAL IDENTITY IN ANCIENT GREEK RELIGION

Recent scholarship has increasingly emphasized the fluidity of social identity in the context of ritual practices in ancient Greece, challenging earlier views that portrayed these rituals as rigid enforcers of social hierarchies. Instead, contemporary studies suggest that rituals were dynamic spaces where identities could be negotiated, redefined, and even transformed.

One of the key insights from recent scholarship is the concept of rituals as liminal spaces – transitional phases where normal social structures and roles are temporarily suspended or blurred. Drawing on the work of anthropologists like Victor Turner, scholars argue that during rituals, participants could experience a sense of *communitas*, a social unity that transcends ordinary hierarchies (Kapferer, 2008; St John, 2008; Turner, 1977; Turner, 1975). In these liminal moments, individuals could explore different aspects of their identity, engage in behaviors outside their usual roles, and temporarily inhabit different social statuses. For example, during the Eleusinian Mysteries, initiates underwent a transformative experience that redefined their relationship with the divine and with their community, creating a sense of spiritual equality that contrasted with their everyday social status (Robertson, 1999; Clinton, 1994).

Recent studies have also highlighted how rituals allowed for a more fluid expression of gender identity. While many rituals reinforced traditional gender roles, others offered spaces where these roles could be challenged or subverted. The worship of Dionysus, a god associated with fluidity and boundary-crossing, often involved rituals where participants, particularly women, could transcend their usual social roles. The Bacchic rites, for instance, allowed women to leave their domestic confines, take on more assertive roles, and engage in ecstatic behaviors that defied societal expectations. These rituals provided a space for exploring alternative gender identities and roles, even if only temporarily (Cristóbal, 2020).

Another aspect of social identity fluidity in ritual contexts is the potential for social mobility. Participation in certain rituals could enhance an individual's status or transform their social identity. This is particularly evident in the case of mystery religions, where initiation rites were open to individuals from various social backgrounds, including slaves and foreigners. Successful completion of these rites could elevate a person's spiritual and social standing, granting them new forms of recognition and respect within the community. In this way, rituals could serve as mechanisms for social advancement, allowing individuals to transcend their birth status (Pearson, 2024).

Scholars have also explored the performative aspects of ritual as a means of identity construction and fluidity. In rituals, participants often engaged in performances that involved adopting specific roles, wearing particular costumes, and following prescribed actions, all of which contributed to the construction of their social identities. These performances were not merely reflections of existing identities but were active processes through which identities were shaped and reshaped. For example, during civic festivals like the Panathenaia, participants might temporarily take on the roles of warriors, priests, or other symbolic figures, thereby experimenting with different facets of their social identity and reinforcing or challenging their place in society (Liveri, 2021; Richter, 2020; Neils, 1996; Meritt, 1988; Develin, 1984; Mikalson, 1976).

Recent scholarship has also emphasized how rituals could be sites of negotiation for social boundaries. Rather than simply reinforcing existing hierarchies, rituals often involved complex interactions where different social groups could negotiate their roles and relationships. This is evident in the role of *metoikoi* (resident foreigners) in certain religious festivals, where their participation, though limited, allowed them to assert their presence and importance within the community (Wijma, 2010). Similarly, the involvement of women in certain female-only rituals provided a platform for negotiating their roles within the broader patriarchal society, subtly challenging the boundaries that restricted their social and political agency (Clagett, 2020; Håland, 2014; Hajdúková, 2007; Goff, 2004).

Finally, recent studies have pointed to the intersectionality of social identities in the context of rituals. Rather than viewing social identities – such as class, gender, age, and citizenship – as fixed and separate categories, scholars now recognize that these identities intersect and influence each other in complex ways during ritual practices. For example, a woman's experience of a ritual might differ significantly depending on her class or marital status, and these overlapping identities could shift and be renegotiated within the ritual context. This intersectional approach allows for a more nuanced understanding of how rituals could simultaneously reinforce and challenge different aspects of social identity (Cornel, 2022; Sjöberg, 2012).

B. RELIGIOUS PRACTICES, COLONIZATION AND TRADE

Moreover, the interaction between Greek religious practices and those of neighboring cultures, particularly during periods of colonization and trade, further complicated the relationship between ritual and social identity. As the Greeks came into contact with other cultures through colonization, trade, and conquest, their rituals and religious

practices became sites of cultural exchange, adaptation, and hybridization, leading to the fluid redefinition of social identities both within Greek society and in the broader Mediterranean world.

The expansion of Greek colonies throughout the Mediterranean and Black Sea regions brought Greek settlers into close contact with indigenous populations, leading to the exchange and adaptation of religious practices. This cultural exchange often resulted in the incorporation of foreign deities into the Greek pantheon or the blending of Greek and local rituals. For example, in the Greek colonies of Asia Minor, the worship of Cybele, a Phrygian mother goddess, was integrated into Greek religious practices, leading to new rituals that combined elements of both cultures (Sisk, 2009; Roller, 1999; Legge, 1917). This syncretism not only enriched the religious landscape but also led to shifts in social identities as Greek settlers and indigenous peoples navigated their shared religious practices (Murray, 2019; Domínguez, 2004; Calame, 2003; Graham, 2001; Malkin, 1987).

In the context of colonization and trade, rituals often served as a means of cultural negotiation and identity formation. Greek colonists and traders used rituals to establish and reinforce their identities in foreign lands, asserting their cultural heritage while also adapting to local customs. This is evident in the establishment of Greek sanctuaries and the continuation of Greek festivals in colonies, which reinforced a sense of Greek identity among settlers. At the same time, these rituals were adapted to include local elements, reflecting the changing identities of the colonists as they integrated into new environments. This process of negotiation through ritual allowed for the fluid construction of identities that were neither wholly Greek nor entirely indigenous, but rather a complex blend of both (Murray, 2019; Graham, 2001; Malkin, 1998; Malkin, 1987).

The blending of Greek and foreign religious practices often resulted in hybrid rituals that complicated traditional social identities. The worship of gods like Isis and Serapis in the Hellenistic period, for example, shows how Greek and Egyptian religious practices merged, creating new rituals that reflected a blending of cultural identities (Murphy, 2021; Moss, 2017; Pfeiffer, 2008; Gasparro, 2007; Pachis, 2003; Versnel, 1998). Greek participation in these hybrid rituals, especially in cosmopolitan cities like Alexandria, led to a redefinition of social identities that transcended the boundaries of Greek and foreign. These hybrid religious practices challenged the notion of a fixed Greek identity, illustrating how rituals could both reflect and contribute to the fluidity of social identities in a multicultural context.

The interaction between Greek and neighboring cultures also had political implications, as rituals became tools for negotiating power and identity in colonial settings. In some cases, Greek rituals were used to assert dominance over local populations, reinforcing the colonists' superior status. However, in other instances, the adoption of local rituals by Greek settlers was a strategic move to gain acceptance and legitimacy in the eyes of indigenous communities. This dynamic is evident in the use of shared rituals to forge alliances between Greek colonists and local elites, which in turn influenced social identities by blurring the lines between Greek and non-Greek, colonizer and colonized. Through these rituals, social identities were constantly being negotiated and redefined in response to the shifting power dynamics of colonization and trade (Murray, 2019; Domínguez, 2004; Calame, 2003; Graham, 2001; Malkin, 1987).

The extensive trade networks of the ancient Greek world facilitated the spread of religious practices across the Mediterranean, further complicating the relationship between ritual and social identity. As Greek merchants and sailors traveled, they brought their religious practices with them, introducing Greek rituals to foreign lands while also adopting elements of the local religious traditions they encountered. This exchange led to the development of new rituals that reflected the interconnectedness of the Mediterranean world. The widespread worship of gods like Dionysus, who was associated with both Greek and foreign elements, exemplifies how trade and mobility contributed to the fluidity of social identities through the blending of religious practices. In this context, rituals became a means of navigating the complex social landscapes of a multicultural world, where identities were constantly in flux.

Greek diaspora communities played a significant role in the interaction between Greek and foreign religious practices. In cities like Naucratis in Egypt or Massalia (modern-day Marseille) in France, Greek settlers established religious practices that reflected both their Hellenic heritage and their new surroundings. These communities often maintained their Greek identity through the preservation of traditional rituals, yet they also adapted to their new cultural contexts, leading to the development of hybrid identities. The rituals practiced by these diaspora communities illustrate how social identities were continually negotiated and redefined in response to the cultural exchanges brought about by colonization and trade (Garland, 2014; Sutton, 2004).

VI. CONCLUSION

The intersection of ritual practice and social identity in ancient Greek religion reveals the complex ways in which religious rituals were intertwined with the social and political fabric of Greek society. Whether through reinforcing civic identity, constructing gender roles, or negotiating social hierarchies, these rituals played a crucial role in shaping the identities of individuals and communities. As scholarship continues to explore these themes, it becomes increasingly

clear that ancient Greek religion was not just about the divine, but also about the deeply human concerns of belonging, power, and identity..



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

References

- Antonaccio, C. M., Hill, E., & Hageman, J. B. (2016). Achieving ancestorhood in ancient Greece. *The Archaeology of Ancestors. Death, Memory, and Veneration*, 102-123.
- Avagianou, A. A. (2008). Hieros Gamos in ancient Greek religion: the human aspect of a sacralized ritual. *Sacred Marriages: The Divine–Human Sexual Metaphor from Sumer to Early Christianity*, 145-172.
- Bardis, P. D. (1964). The ancient Greek family. *Social Science*, 39(3): 156-175.
- Barlow-Busch, I. (2021). Priestesses and the Intersection of Gender, Religion, and Power in Classical Athens. *Footnotes*, 14, 39-48.
- Barraud, C., & Platenkamp, J. D. (1990). Rituals and the comparison of societies. *Bijdragen tot de taal-, land-en volkenkunde*, (1ste Afl), 103-123.
- Bassi, K. (1998). *Acting like men: Gender, drama, and nostalgia in Ancient Greece*. University of Michigan Press.
- Bell, C. (1992/2009). *Ritual Theory, Ritual Practice*. Oxford University Press.
- Blanshard, A. J. (2004). What counts as the demos? Some notes on the relationship between the jury and" the people" in classical Athens. *Phoenix*, 28-48.
- Bloch, M. (1991). *Prey into Hunter: The Politics of Religious Experience*. Cambridge University Press.
- Blok, J. (2013). Citizenship, the citizen body, and its assemblies. *A companion to Ancient Greek government*, 159-175.
- Blok, J. (2014). The priestess of Athena Nike. A new reading of IG I3 35 and 36. *Kernos. Revue internationale et pluridisciplinaire de religion grecque antique*, (27): 99-126.
- Blok, J. (2017). *Citizenship in classical Athens*. Cambridge University Press.
- Blok, J. H. (2009). Perikles' citizenship law: a new perspective. *Historia: Zeitschrift für Alte Geschichte*, (H. 2): 141-170.
- Blundell, S., & Williamson, M. (2005). *The sacred and the feminine in ancient Greece*. Routledge.
- Bourdieu, P. (1979). *La Distinction. Critique sociale du jugement*. Paris: Les Éditions de minuit
- Boutsikas, E. (2017). *The role of darkness in ancient Greek religion and religious practice* (Vol. 1). Oxford: Oxford University Press).
- Bremmer, J. N. (2007). Greek normative animal sacrifice. A companion to Greek religion, 132-144.
- Brøns, C. (2016). Power through textiles: Women as ritual performers in ancient Greece. In *Women's Ritual Competence in the Greco-Roman Mediterranean* (pp. 60-78). Routledge.
- Brooks, I. (1996). Using ritual to reduce barriers between sub-cultures. *Journal of management in medicine*, 10(3): 23-30.
- Bryant, J. M. (1996). *Moral codes and social structure in ancient Greece: A sociology of Greek ethics from Homer to the Epicureans and Stoics*. SUNY Press.
- Burkert, W. (1995). Greek Poleis and Civic Cults: Some further thoughts. In *Studies in the ancient Greek polis*, eds. M. H. Hansen, K. Raaflaub, Stuttgart: Steiner Verlag. 201–10.
- Burkert, W. (2001). *Savage energies: lessons of myth and ritual in ancient Greece*. University of Chicago Press.
- Burroughs, C. (2006). The Demotic Campidoglio: Ritual, Social Unrest, and a Case of Wizardry. *RES: Anthropology and Aesthetics*, 49(1), 171-187.
- Calame, C. (2003). *Myth and history in ancient Greece: the symbolic creation of a colony*. Princeton University Press.
- Carbon, J. M., & Peels-Matthey, S. (Eds.). (2023). *Purity and Purification in the Ancient Greek World. Texts, Rituals, and Norms*. Presses universitaires de Liège.
- Clagett, C. (2020). Girls Only: The Use and Abuse of Female-Centric Events in Patriarchal Religions. *Texas State Undergraduate Research Journal*, 8(i), 06-58.
- Clinton, K. (1994). The Eleusinian Mysteries and Panhellenism in Democratic Athens. In *The Archaeology of Athens and Attica under the Democracy: Proceedings of an international conference celebrating 2500 years since the birth of democracy in Greece*, held at the American School of Classical Studies at Athens, December 4–6, 1992, eds. W. D. E. Coulson et al. *Oxbow monograph* 37. Oxford. 161–72.
- Cole, S. G. (1984). The social function of rituals of maturation: the Koureion and the Arkteia. *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik*, 233-244.
- Cole, S. G. (2004). *Landscapes, gender, and ritual space: the ancient Greek experience*. University of California Press.
- Comaroff, J., & Comaroff, J. (2012). On tyrant property turned ritual object: political power and sacred symbols in ancient Greece and in social anthropology. *Rethinking Greek Religion*, 55.
- Connor, W. R. (1987). Tribes, festivals and processions; civic ceremonial and political manipulation in Archaic Greece. *The Journal of Hellenic Studies*, 107: 40-50.
- Connor, W. R. (1989). *City Dionysia and Athenian Democracy*. Libr. Museum Tusculanum.
- Cornel, A. (2022). *An Other View: Intersectional and Identity in Classical Greece* (Doctoral dissertation). University of Michigan.

- Cristóbal, A. I. J. S. (2020). At the Origins of Dionysus and Wine: Myths, Miracles, and Festivals. *Archiv für Religionsgeschichte*, 21(1): 387-409.
- Cristóbal, A. I. J. S. (2020). At the Origins of Dionysus and Wine: Myths, Miracles, and Festivals. *Archiv für Religionsgeschichte*, 21(1): 387-409.
- Crook, P. J. (1985). Language, truth and law in ancient Greece. *Language & Communication*, 5(4): 231-263.
- Csapo, E. (2020). Crowns, Garlands, and Ribbons for Tony Podlecki: Official and Unofficial Victory Rituals at the Athenian Dionysian Festivals. *Mouseion*, 17: 151-174.
- Danforth, L. M., & Tsiaras, A. (2020). *The death rituals of rural Greece*. Princeton University Press.
- De Shong Moodor, B. (1986). The Thesmophoria: A women's ritual. *Psychological Perspectives*, 17(1): 35-45.
- Deihr, L. (2022). ARISTOPHANES, WOMEN AT THE THESMOPHORIA □ REALITY AND THE EGG: AN OVIPARODY OF EURIPIDES. *Queer Euripides: Re-Readings in Greek Tragedy*, 229.
- Develin, R. (1984). From Panathenaia to Panathenaia. *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik*, 133-138.
- Dietrich, B. C. (1961). A Rite of Swinging during the Anthesteria. *Hermes*, 89 (H. 1): 36-50.
- Dignas, B. (2008). The fine line of feminine priesthood. Kernos. *Revue internationale et pluridisciplinaire de religion grecque antique*, (21): 312-318.
- Dillon, M. P. (2021). The Gods and Rituals of Greek and Roman Weddings. *A Cultural History of Marriage in Antiquity*, 1, 37.
- Dillon, M. (2020). Children in Archaic and Classical Greek religion: Active and passive ritual agency. In *Children in Antiquity* (pp. 326-343). Routledge.
- Dillon, M. (2006). The Construction of Women's Gender Identity through Religious Activity in Classical Greece. *Australian Religious Studies Review*, 19, 221.
- Dimakis, N. (2015). Ancient Greek Deathscapes. *Journal of Eastern Mediterranean Archaeology & Heritage Studies*, 3(1), 27-41.
- Domínguez, A. J. (2004). Greek identity in the Phocaean colonies. In *Greek Identity in the Western Mediterranean* (pp. 429-456). Brill.
- Douglas, M. (1966). *Purity and Danger: An Analysis of Concepts of Pollution and Taboo*. New York: Frederick A. Praeger.
- Duncan, C., & Museums, A. (1991). The Ritual of Citizenship'. Exhibiting Cultures: The Poetics and Politics of Museum Display.
- Duploux, A. (2018). Pathways to archaic citizenship. Defining citizenship in archaic Greece, 1-49.
- Durkheim, E. (1912/2014). *The Elementary Forms of Religious Life*. Paris: Cnrs
- Eidinow, E. (2011). Networks and narratives: a model for ancient Greek religion. *Kernos. Revue internationale et pluridisciplinaire de religion grecque antique*, (24): 9-38.
- Eitrem, S. (1944). Les thesmophoria, les skirophoria et les arrhetophoria. *Symbolae Osloenses*, 23(1): 32-45.
- Ekroth, G. (2019). Why Does Zeus Care about Burnt Thighbones from Sheep? Defining the Divine and Structuring the World through Animal Sacrifice in Ancient Greece. *History of Religions*, 58(3): 225-250.
- Elhance, J. (2024) Beyond the Veil: Exploring Feminine Identity in Ancient Greek Society. *Journal of National Development*, 37(1), Symmer.
- Erasmio, M. (2021). *Death: antiquity and its legacy*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Evans, N. (2010). *Civic rites: Democracy and religion in ancient Athens*. Univ of California Press.
- Faerna, Á. M. (2014). On norms and social practices: Brandom, Dewey, and the demarcation question. *Transactions of the Charles S. Peirce Society: A Quarterly Journal in American Philosophy*, 50(3): 360-372.
- Faraone, C. (2005). Curses and blessings in ancient Greek oaths. *Journal of Ancient Near Eastern Religions*, 5(1): 139-156.
- Faraone, C. A., & Naiden, F. S. (Eds.). (2012). *Greek and Roman animal sacrifice: ancient victims, modern observers*. Cambridge University Press.
- Farenga, V. (2006). *Citizen and self in Ancient Greece: Individuals performing justice and the law*. Cambridge University Press.
- Farneth, M. (2020). *Hegel's Social Ethics: Religion, Conflict, and Rituals of Reconciliation*. Princeton University Press.
- Feraru, R. M. (2020). Feasts in Honor of Demeter in the Megarian Colonies on the Shores of Pontus Euxinus. *Ziridava. Studia Archaeologica*, 34(1): 249-258.
- Fletcher, J. (2014). POLYPHONY TO SILENCE: THE JURORS OF THE "ORESTEIA". *College Literature*, 56-75.
- Foley, H. P. (2024). Women at the Thesmophoria: Religion and Ritual. *A Companion to Aristophanes*, 212-227.
- Forde, C. D. (1962). *Essays on the ritual of social relations*. Manchester University Press.
- Forsdyke, S. (2005). Revelry and riot in Archaic Megara: democratic disorder or ritual reversal?. *The Journal of Hellenic Studies*, 125: 73-92.
- Forth, S. B. (2024). Vinciane Pirenne-Delforge Demeter as Thesmophoros: What Does. What's in a Divine Name?: *Religious Systems and Human Agency in the Ancient Mediterranean*, 185.
- Garland, R. (2014). *Wandering Greeks: the ancient Greek diaspora from the Age of Homer to the death of Alexander the Great*. Princeton University Press.
- Gasparro, G. S. (2007). The Hellenistic face of Isis: Cosmic and saviour goddess. In *Nile into Tiber: Egypt in the Roman World* (pp. 40-72). Brill.
- Geertz, C. (1966.1993). Religion as a Cultural System. In *The interpretation of cultures: selected essays*, pp.87-125. Fontana Press.

INTERSECTION OF RITUAL PRACTICE AND SOCIAL IDENTITY IN ANCIENT GREEK RELIGION

- Genov, I. (2023). The Cult of the Nymphs: Identity, Ritual, and Womanhood in Ancient Greece. Undergraduate Honors Theses. William & Mary, <https://scholarworks.wm.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3019&context=honorstheses>
- Georgoulaki, E. (1996). Religious and Socio-Political Implications of Mortuary Evidence. Case Studies in Ancient Greece. *Kernos. Revue internationale et pluridisciplinaire de religion grecque antique*, (9).
- Goff, B. (2004). *Citizen Bacchae: Women's ritual practice in ancient Greece*. Univ of California Press.
- Goffman, E. (1956/1959). *The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life*. Anchor Books.
- Goldhill, S. (1987). The Great Dionysia and civic ideology. *The Journal of Hellenic Studies*, 107: 58-76.
- Graf, F. (2016). Festivals in Ancient Greece and Rome. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Religion*.
- Graf, F. (2020). Caloric codes: Ancient Greek animal sacrifice. In *Animals in Ancient Greek Religion* (pp. 171-196). Routledge.
- Graham, A. J. (2001). Religion, women and Greek colonization. In *Collected Papers on Greek Colonization* (pp. 327-348). Brill.
- Gunther, Y. H., & Bagna-Dulyachinda, S. (2020). *Kalokagathia: The citizen ideal in classical Greek sculpture*. Literature & Aesthetics, 30(1).
- Gürlach, A. (2022). Transformation or Transgression? Initiation and Transition Rituals in the Attic Cult of Artemis. In *Transgression and Deviance in the Ancient World* (pp. 19-38). Stuttgart: JB Metzler.
- Gvozdeva, T. B. (2021). Erichthonius or Theseus, who established the Panathenaea?. *RUDN Journal of World History*, 13(3): 259-268.
- Habash, M. (1997). The Odd Thesmophoria of Aristophanes' Thesmophoriazusae. *Greek, Roman, and Byzantine Studies*, 38(1): 19-40.
- Hajdúková, I. (2007). *Female rituals in ancient Greece from the viewpoint of modern interpretations*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Håland, E. J. (2010). Emotion and Identity in Connection with Greek Death Cult, Modern and Ancient. *Etnološka istraživanja*, (16).
- Håland, E. J. (2014). Death, emotion, gender and identity in Greece. *Mediterranean review*, 7(2): 1-52.
- Håland, E. J. (2014). *Rituals of Death and Dying in Modern and Ancient Greece: Writing History from a Female Perspective*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Ham, G. L. (1999). The Choes and Anthesteria reconsidered: Male maturation rites and the Peloponnesian wars. *Bucknell Review*, 43(1): 201.
- Harris, E. M. (2007). The rule of law in Athenian democracy. Reflections on the judicial oath. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228931591_The_rule_of_law_in_Athenian_democracy_Reflections_on_the_judicial_oath
- Henderson, T. R. (2020). A Selection of Ephebic Documents. In *The Springtime of the People: The Athenian Ephebeia and Citizen Training from Lykourgos to Augustus* (pp. 324-355). Brill.
- Henderson, T. R. (2020). Ephebeia and Athenian Religious Life (229–31 BCE). In *The Springtime of the People: The Athenian Ephebeia and Citizen Training from Lykourgos to Augustus* (pp. 227-256). Brill.
- Henry, J. (2023). Was Medusa a Priestess of Athena?: On Ovid, *Metamorphoses* 4.794-803. *Mnemosyne*, 1(aop): 1-15.
- Hoffman, R. J. (1989). Ritual license and the cult of Dionysos. *Athenaeum*, 67, 91.
- Humphreys, S. C. (2023). *The family, women and death: comparative studies*. Taylor & Francis.
- Isin, E. F. (2002). *Being political: genealogies of citizenship*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Jackson, C. (2020). Ritual Irony and the Maiden: The Marriage-Sacrifice Metaphor in Classical Greek Vase Painting (Master's thesis, State University of New York at Stony Brook).
- Johannessen, L. O. (2021). *Constituting Artemis: the social and cultural significance of votive offerings in the cults of Artemis at Brauron, Ephesos and Sparta*. Norwegian Institute at Athens.
- Johnston, S. I. (1999). *Restless dead: Encounters between the living and the dead in ancient Greece*. Univ. of California Press.
- Kádár, D. Z., & House, J. (2020). The pragmatics of ritual: An introduction. *Pragmatics*, 30(1): 1-14.
- Kapferer, B. (2008). Beyond symbolic representation: Victor Turner and variations on the themes of ritual process and liminality. *Suomen Antropologi: Journal of the Finnish Anthropological Society*, 33(4): 5-25.
- Katsarou, S., & Nagel, A. (Eds.). (2020). *Cave and worship in ancient Greece: new approaches to landscape and ritual*. Routledge.
- Kellogg, D. L. (2013). The Place of Publication of the Ephebic Oath and the "Oath of Plataia". *Hesperia: The Journal of the American School of Classical Studies at Athens*, 82(2): 263-276.
- Kellogg, D. (2008). Οὐκ ἐλ τῷ παραδόσῳ τῇ πατρίδᾳ: The Ephebic Oath and the Oath of Plataia In Fourth-Century Athens. *Museion: Journal of the Classical Association of Canada*, 52(3): 355-376.
- Khatun, M., & Islam, A. (2023). Ancient Civilizations and Marriage: A Comparative Study of Customs, Traditions, and Rituals in Sumerian, Babylonian, Persian, Egyptian, Greek, Roman, Chinese, European, African and American Cultures. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities (IJSSH)*, 8(2), 27-53.
- Kindt, J. (Ed.). (2021). *Animals in ancient Greek religion*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Kindt, J. (2009). On tyrant property turned ritual object: Political power and sacred symbols in ancient Greece and in social anthropology. *Arethusa*, 42(3): 211-250.
- Kitts, M. (2022). *Sacrifice: Themes, Theories, and Controversies*. Cambridge University Press.
- Klosko, G. (2020). Oaths and Political Obligation in Ancient Greece. *History of Political Thought*, 41(1): 1-15.

- Kozak, L. (2013). Greek Government and Education: Re-Examining the ephēbeia. *A Companion to Ancient Greek Government*, 302-316.
- Kurke, L. (2021). *Coins, Bodies, Games, and Gold: The Politics of Meaning in Archaic Greece*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Lamont, J. L. (2023). In *Blood and Ashes: Curse Tablets and Binding Spells in Ancient Greece*. Oxford University Press.
- Landauer, M. (2023). Demos (a) kurios? Agenda power and democratic control in ancient Greece. *European Journal of Political Theory*, 22(3): 375-398.
- Laurin, J. R. (2013). The life of women in ancient Athens. AuthorHouse.
- Legge, F. (1917). XVII. The most ancient Goddess Cybele. *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, 49(4), 695-714.
- Liveri, A. (2021). Soundscape of public festivals in Athens (Panathenaia and City Dionysia). *Telestes: an international journal of archaeomusicology and archaeology of sound*: (1): 27-46.
- Loizos, P., & Papataxiarchēs, E. (Eds.). (1991). *Contested identities: gender and kinship in modern Greece* (Vol. 5). Princeton University Press.
- Lougovaya-Ast, J. (2006). Myrrhine, the first priestess of Athena Nike. *Phoenix*, 211-225.
- Louverdis, E. (2022). The Violence of These Women Blazes at Our Door: A New Approach to the Violent Rituals of Ancient Greek Women (Doctoral dissertation, Open Access The Herenga Waka-Victoria University of Wellington).
- Lowe, N. J. (2005). Thesmophoria and Haloo: myth, physics and mysteries. In *The sacred and the feminine in ancient Greece* (pp. 120-146). Routledge.
- MacLachlan, B. (2011). Ritual and the Performance of Identity: Women and Gender in the Ancient World. *Journal of Women's History*, 23(4), 176-186.
- Magnette, P. (2024). *Citizenship: the history of an idea*. ecpr Press.
- Malkin, I. (1998). *The returns of Odysseus: colonization and ethnicity*. University of California Press.
- Malkin, I. (1987). *Religion and colonization in ancient Greece* (Vol. 3). Brill Archive.
- Maurizio, L. (2001). Performance, Hysteria, and Democratic Identities in the Anthesteria. *Helios*, 28(1): 29-43.
- McClure, L. (2021). *Spoken like a woman: speech and gender in Athenian drama*. Princeton University Press.
- McInerney, J. (2020). The 'entanglement' of gods, humans, and animals in ancient Greek religion. In *Animals in Ancient Greek Religion* (pp. 17-40). Routledge.
- Meritt, B. D. (1988). Philokles and the Panathenaia of 406 BC. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society*, 132(1), 128-135.
- Meyer, E. A. (1993). Epitaphs and citizenship in classical Athens. *The Journal of Hellenic Studies*, 113, 99-121.
- Mikalsen, J. D. (1976). Erechtheus and the Panathenaia. *The American Journal of Philology*, 97(2): 141-153.
- Moss, K. A. (2017). *The Development and Diffusion of the Cult of Isis in the Hellenistic Period*. The University of Arizona.
- Murphy, L. (2021). Beware Greeks Bearing Gods: Serapis as a Cross-Cultural Deity. *Amphora*, vol. 2.
- Murray, S. C. (2022). *Male nudity in the Greek Iron Age: representation and ritual context in Aegean societies*. Cambridge University Press.
- Murray, C. A. (2019). Constructing Colonies. Physical Manifestations of Social Action within Greek Colonization. *Greek Colonization in Local Contexts. Case Studies in Colonial Interactions*. Oxford, 7-23.
- Nagy, B. (1978). The Athenian Athlothetai. *Greek, Roman, and Byzantine Studies*, 19(4): 307-313.
- Neils, J. (Ed.). (1996). *Worshipping Athena: Panathenaia and Parthenon*. Univ. of Wisconsin Press.
- O'Connell, P. A. (2019). *The story about the jury. Forensic Narratives in Athenian Courts*. London/New York, 81-101.
- Ober, J. (2008). What the ancient Greeks can tell us about democracy. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11(1): 67-91.
- Osborne, R. (2015, December 22). Brauron. In *Oxford Classical Dictionary*.
<https://oxfordre.com/classics/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780199381135.001.0001/acrefore-9780199381135-e-1163>
- Ovid, O. (2023). Was Medusa a Priestess of Athena?. *Mnemosyne*, 1, 15.
- Pachis, P. (2003). The Hellenistic Era as an Age of Propaganda: The Case of Isis' Cult. *Martin & Pachis*, 97-125.
- Papastamati, S. (2013). Gamos in archaic and classical Greek Poetry: theme, ritual and metaphor (Doctoral dissertation, UCL (University College London)).
- Parker, R. (2015). Anthesteria. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Classics*.
- Patterson, C. (2006). 'CITIZEN CEMETERIES' IN CLASSICAL ATHENS?. *The Classical Quarterly*, 56(1), 48-56.
- Pearson, C. G. (2024). New Evidence for Slave Names and Social Mobility in Archaic Greece. *Inscriptions and the Epigraphic Habit*, 257.
- Pfeiffer, S. (2008). The god Serapis, his cult and the beginnings of the ruler cult in Ptolemaic Egypt. *Ptolemy II Philadelphus and his world*, 387-408.
- Pirenne-Delforge, V. (2024). Demeter as Thesmophoros: what does she bring forth?. 978-3-11-132651-1.
- Pişkin Ayvazoğlu, C. (2014). Dionysos and the Festival of Anthesteria at Claros. *MOM Éditions*, 65(1): 99-107.
- Reilly, J. (2003). Naked and limbless: learning about the feminine body in ancient Athens. In *Naked Truths* (pp. 170-189). Routledge.
- Reinmuth, O. W. (1952, January). The Genesis of the Athenian Ephebia. In *Transactions and Proceedings of the American Philological Association* (Vol. 83, pp. 34-50). Johns Hopkins University Press, American Philological Association.
- Reitzammer, L. (2016). *The Athenian Adonia in context: the Adonis festival as cultural practice*. University of Wisconsin Press.

INTERSECTION OF RITUAL PRACTICE AND SOCIAL IDENTITY IN ANCIENT GREEK RELIGION

- Retief, F. P., & Cilliers, L. (2006). Burial customs, the afterlife and the pollution of death in ancient Greece. *Acta Theologica*, 26(2), 44-61.
- Richter, S. (2020). The power of procession: The greater Panathenaia and the transformation of Athenian public spaces (Master's thesis, The University of Arizona).
- Rigoglioso, M. (2009). Athena's Divine Birth Priestesshood. In *The Cult of Divine Birth in Ancient Greece* (pp. 51-81). New York: Palgrave Macmillan US.
- Rives, J. B. (2011). The theology of animal sacrifice in the ancient Greek world. *Ancient Mediterranean Sacrifice*, 187-202.
- Roberts, E. M. (2020). *Underworld gods in ancient Greek religion: death and reciprocity*. Routledge.
- Robertson, N. (1999). The Sequence of Days at the Thesmophoria and at the Eleusinian Mysteries. *Echos du monde classique: Classical news and views*, 43(1), 1-33.
- Roller, L. E. (1999). *In search of god the mother: the cult of Anatolian Cybele*. University of California Press.
- Seaford, R. (2015). Dionysia. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Classics*.
- Sekita, K. (2023). Morbid Phantasies: the 'After-Death' and the Dead between Imagination and Perception. In *The Imagination of the Mind in Classical Athens: Forms of Thought*. Routledge.
- Shear, J. L. (2012b). Hadrian, the Panathenaia, and the Athenian calendar. *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik*, 159-172.
- Shear, J. L. (2021a). *Serving Athena: the festival of the Panathenaia and the construction of Athenian identities*. Cambridge University Press.
- Shear, J. L. (2001). *Polis and Panathenaia: the history and development of Athena's festival*. University of Pennsylvania.
- Shideed, R. M. (2023). Adonis. *International Journal of Tourism, Archaeology and Hospitality*, 3(2).
- Siebert, S. (2020). Symbolic demarcation: the role of status symbols in preserving interprofessional boundaries. *Journal of Professions and Organization*, 7(1): 47-69.
- Siewert, P. (1977). The ephebic oath in fifth-century Athens. *The journal of Hellenic studies*, 97, 102-111.
- Simms, R. R. (1997). Mourning and community at the Athenian Adonia. *The Classical Journal*, 93(2): 121-141.
- Simone, C. (2020). Dancing for Artemis at Brauron: Choreia and the Shaping of Sacred Space. Musical and Choral Performance Spaces in the Ancient World, Pisa/Rome: Istituti Editoriali e Polygraphist Internazionali, 43-58.
- Sisk, H. (2009). Mother Goddess, Male World, Myriad Social Classes: The Cult of Cybele's Impact on Phrygian Culture.
- Sjöberg, B. L. (2012). More than just gender: The classical oikos as a site of intersectionality. *Families in the Greco-Roman world*, 48-59.
- Smith, B. C. (2003). *Mimicking bears' for Artemis: Girls' maturation rites in Attika*. University of London, University College London (United Kingdom).
- Sourvinou-Inwood, C. (1988). Further Aspects of Polis Religion, *Annali dell'Istituto universitario orientale di Napoli. Dipartimento di studi del mondo classico e del Mediterraneo antico. Sezione di archeologia e storia antica* (10): 259-74.
- Sourvinou-Inwood, C. (1988). Priestess in the text: Theano Menonos Agrylethen. *Greece & Rome*, 35(1): 29-39.
- Spineto, N. (2011). Athenian Identity, Dionysiac Festivals and the Theatre. *A Different God? Dionysos and Ancient Polytheism*, 299-313.
- St John, G. (2008). Victor Turner and contemporary cultural performance: An introduction. *Victor Turner and contemporary cultural performance*, 1-37.
- Stears, K. (2005). Death becomes her: gender and Athenian death ritual. In *The sacred and the feminine in ancient Greece* (pp. 89-100). Routledge.
- Stehle, E. (1997). *Performance and gender in ancient Greece: nondramatic poetry in its setting* (Vol. 331). Princeton University Press.
- Stevanović, L. (2009). *Laughing at the Funeral: gender and anthropology in the Greek funerary rites* (Vol. 69). Etnografski institut SANU.
- Stewart, D. J. (1976). Mythomorphism" in Greco-Roman Historiography: the Case of the Royal" Gamos. *The Bucknell Review*, 22(1), 186.
- Surtees, A. (Ed.). (2020). *Exploring gender diversity in the ancient world*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Sutton, D. E. (2004). Ritual, continuity and change: Greek reflections. *History and Anthropology*, 15(2): 91-105.
- Taylor, J. W. (1918). The Athenian ephebic oath. *The Classical Journal*, 13(7), 495-501.
- Thür, G. (1996). 4 Oaths and Dispute Settlement in Ancient Greek Law GERHARD THÜR. *Greek Law in Its Political Setting: Justifications Not Justice*, 57.
- Turner, V. (1977). Process, system, and symbol: A new anthropological synthesis. *Daedalus*, 61-80.
- Turner, V. (1975). Symbolic studies. *Annual review of anthropology*, 4: 145-161.
- Turner, V. (1969/2017). *The Ritual Process: Structure and Anti-Structure*. Routledge.
- Tzanetou, A. (2002). Something to do with Demeter: Ritual and Performance in Aristophanes' Women at the Thesmophoria. *American journal of philology*, 123(3): 329-367.
- Utheim, N. S. (2019). The Ancient Greek Festival of Anthesteria (Master's thesis).
- Van Gennep, A. (1909/2011). *Les Rites de Passage*. Paris: Picard.
- Van Hoorn, G. (2024). *Choes and Anthesteria*. Brill.

- Versnel, H. (1998). Isis, una quae es omnia. Tyrants against tyranny: Isis as a paradigm of Hellenistic rulership. In *Inconsistencies in Greek and Roman Religion*, Volume 1: Ter Unus. Isis, Dionysos, Hermes. Three Studies in Henotheism (pp. 39-95). Brill.
- Vickers, M. (2011). Antigone's Creon and the Ephebic Oath. *Scripta Classica Israelica*, 30, 1-7.
- Waldner, K. (2013). Dimensions of Individuality in Ancient Mystery Cults: Religious practice and philosophical discourse. In *The Individual in the Religions of the Ancient Mediterranean*, ed. J. Rüpke, Oxford: Oxford University Press. 215-42.
- White, K. (2013). Demeter and the Thesmophoria. *Classicum*, 39(1): 3-12.
- Whitehouse, H. (2021). *The ritual animal: Imitation and cohesion in the evolution of social complexity*. Oxford University Press.
- Whitley, J. (2018). Citizenship and Commensality. *Defining Citizenship in Archaic Greece*, 227.
- Wijma, S. (2023). Spoken from the grave: The construction of social identities on the funerary monuments of metics in Classical Athens. In *Voiceless, Invisible, and Countless in Ancient Greece: The Experience of Subordinates, 700-300 BCE* (pp. 207-242). Oxford University Press.
- Wijma, S. (2010). Joining the Athenian community: the participation of metics in Athenian polis religion in the fifth and fourth centuries BC. Research thesis. University of Groningen.